

REMARKS

The Official Action of February 16, 2005, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 1, 5 and 6, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claims 4 and 7 have been canceled. Allowed claims 1, 5 and 6 remain in the application for consideration.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 1, 5 and 6 have been allowed. Applicant respectfully submits that having canceled rejected claims 4 and 7 only which leaves only allowed claims 1, 5 and 6 remaining in the application, that this application is now in condition for allowance.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.


Appln. No. 10/693,081
Amdt. dated February 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2005

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are
earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By


Norman J. Latker

Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\D\dire\chang186\pto\Amendment-C.doc